

# BLUECOAT BEECHDALE ACADEMY

# NON-EXAMINATION ASSESSMENT POLICY 2025/26

#### Non-examination Assessment (including controlled assessment and coursework) Policy

Centre name	Bluecoat Beechdale Academy
Centre number	28253
Date policy first created	17/09/2025
Current policy approved by	GEMMA BAXTER
Current policy reviewed by	AGNISZKA DWORZYCKA
Date of review	17/09/2025
Date of next review	30/09/2026

#### Key staff involved in the policy

Key staff involved in the policy Role	Name
Head of centre	RACHAEL FREARSON
Senior leader(s)	ANDY FREESTONE, GEMMA BAXTER, MADELEINE BENNETT, TRACEY WHITE
Exams officer	AGNIESZKA DWORZYCKA
SENCo (or equivalent role)	AMANDA TUCKETT
Quality assurance lead/Lead internal verifier (or equivalent role)	RACHEL PEABODY
Other staff	

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that non-examination assessment at Bluecoat Beechdale Academy are planned for and managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

References in this policy to NEA and ICC refer to the JCQ documents **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments** and **Instructions for conducting coursework**.

#### Introduction

#### What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

#### What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not:

- Set by an awarding body
- Designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)
- Is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA)

NEA therefore includes, but is not limited to internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different time across centres are classified as NEA

Instructions for the setting, supervision, authentication, marking, internal standardisation and external moderation of non-examination assessments for use in the vocational and technical qualifications listed are provided in the JCQ document *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (Vocational and Technical Qualifications)*.

(JCQ publication *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments*– Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

#### Purpose of the policy

This policy confirms the JCQ requirement that **Bluecoat Beechdale Academy** has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments including controlled assessments and coursework, which includes how candidates' work will be authenticated.

Awarding bodies require each centre to have a non-examination assessment policy in place to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

(NEA 1)

## Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

Where reference is made in these procedures to non-examination assessment, this is intended to include GCE and GCSE specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component, controlled assessment (where applicable) and coursework.

#### The basic principles

#### Head of centre role and responsibilities:

Returns a declaration (managed as part of the national Centre Number Register Annual Update) to confirm awareness of and that relevant centre staff are adhering to the latest version of

Where reference is made in these procedures to non-examination assessment, this is intended to include GCE and GCSE specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component, controlled assessment (where applicable) and coursework.

- Where reference is made in these procedures to non-examination assessment, this is intended to include GCE and GCSE specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component, controlled assessment (where applicable) and coursework.
- Ensures that the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against an internal assessment decision (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

#### Senior leaders role and responsibilities:

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with the JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Instructions for conducting coursework (NEA) and awarding body subject specific instructions
- · Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier role and responsibilities:

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Subject head/lead role and responsibilities:

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Understand and comply with the general instructions as detailed in the JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (GCE & GCSE/VTQs) and Instructions for conducting coursework
- Where instructions may also be provided by the awarding body, understand and comply with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments and coursework, including any subject- specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Ensure the JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments,
   Instructions for conducting coursework and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessment (including endorsements)
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subjectspecific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the
  internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as
  a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Work with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier (or equivalent role) to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

#### **Exams officer role and responsibilities:**

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication documents Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments and Instructions for conducting coursework (NEA) to relevant staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

#### Task setting

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

#### **Issuing of tasks**

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensure that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

#### Task taking

#### **Supervision**

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated

- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own.
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contributions and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensure candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ Information for candidates documents

#### Ensure candidates

- understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates
- understand that information from all sources must be referenced
- receive guidance on setting out references
- are aware that they must not plagiarise other material

#### Advice and feedback

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and take it into account in the marking or submit it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

#### Resources

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

 Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks

Refer to the JCQ document **AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications** (www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice) as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and the regulator

- By referencing this document and the centre's malpractice policy, makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically.
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Word and time limits

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

#### Collaboration and group work

#### Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

#### **Authentication procedures**

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

Where required by the awarding body's specification

- Ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
- Signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has
  passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is
  later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in the JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and/or Instructions for conducting coursework (NEA) and informs a member of the senior leadership team.
- Understand that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

#### **Presentation of work**

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in the JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments/coursework (<u>NEA</u>) unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

#### Keeping materials secure

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

 When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)

- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u> the JCQ documents **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments/coursework**
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if
  requested by a candidate after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once a review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed
  or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates
  of the JCQ document "Information for Candidates social media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up
  of candidates work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between
  sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the
  deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided
  that the originals are stored securely as required

#### IT Manager/ IT member role and responsibilities:

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restrict access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Consider the contingency of candidates' work being backed-up on two separate devices, including
  one off-site back-up and implementing appropriate security arrangements which protect candidates'
  work in the event of IT system corruption and cyber-attacks
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

#### Task marking – externally assessed components

#### Conduct of externally assessed work

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Liaises with the EO regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

#### Exams officer role and responsibilities:

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
   And where applicable, according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

#### **Submission of work**

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

#### Exams officer role and responsibilities:

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner,
- or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for review of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensure that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

#### <u>Task marking – internally assessed components</u>

#### Marking and annotation

#### **Head of Centre role and responsibilities:**

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family, and similar close relationship) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

#### Subject head/lead role and responsibilities:

 Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body. Does
  not use artificial intelligence as the sole means of marking candidates' work)
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the
  centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be
  submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding
  body

#### Internal standardisation

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier role and responsibilities:

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQT's, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
  - obtaining reference materials at any early stage in the course
  - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
  - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period

- after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
- making final adjustments to marks prior to submission retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

#### **Consortium arrangements**

#### Subject head/lead role and responsibilities:

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- If the consortium lead, liaises with the exams officer to ensure the awarding body is notified by submission of the Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assesses work (including Spoken Language Endorsements, GCSE English Language) for each exam series affected
- Liaises with the EO to ensure form JCQ/CCA is submitted to the awarding body for each exam series
  affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after deadline for reviews of results for the exam series or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

#### **Exams officer role and responsibilities:**

- Where the centre is the consortium lead
  - Submits the notification of <u>Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work</u> via the awarding body's Centre Admin Portal (CAP) to the deadline for each exam series
  - Submits marks to the awarding body deadline
  - Liaises with other consortium exams officers to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline

#### Submission of marks and work for moderation

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the
  relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator
  in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submit any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provide the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### **Exams officer role and responsibilities:**

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline

#### **Ensures that for postal moderation**

- work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
- moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
- proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates'
  work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subjectspecific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submit any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
  - Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
  - In liaison with IT, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
  - If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retain some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

#### **Exams officer role and responsibilities:**

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

#### **External moderation – the process**

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Ensures the awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

#### **External moderation – feedback**

#### Subject head/lead role and responsibilities:

Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published

 Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

#### **Exams officer role and responsibilities:**

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

#### **Access arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments**

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

 Works with the ALS lead/SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

#### SENCo or Additional Learning Support (ALS Lead/Special educational needs co-ordinator)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and</u> <u>Reasonable Adjustments</u> in relation to non-examination assessments
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualifications and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Work with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

#### Special consideration and loss of work

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

#### **Exams officer role and responsibilities:**

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
  - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
  - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
  - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to Form 15 JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

#### **Malpractice**

#### Head of centre role and responsibilities:

- Understands the responsibility to report to the relevant awarding body any suspected cases of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to

report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>
   Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates
  producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that
  teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice
  constitutes malpractice in itself

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'</u> work
- Ensure candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments/coursework
- Ensures candidates understand of the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Escalate and report any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

#### **Exams officer role and responsibilities:**

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>
   <u>Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'</u> work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

#### Post results services

#### Head of centre role and responsibilities:

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u>
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an enquiry about results request or not supporting an appeal following the outcome of a review of results or an appeal

#### Subject head/lead role and responsibilities:

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

#### **Exams officer role and responsibilities:**

Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally
assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post</u>
<u>Results Services</u>, <u>Information and guidance for centres</u>

- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

#### **ENDORSEMENTS**

### Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

#### Head of centre role and responsibilities:

Returns an online "Head of Centre declaration" at the time of the National Centre Number Register
Annual Update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all
candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken language
endorsement

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier role and responsibilities:

• Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

#### Subject head/lead role and responsibilities:

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

#### Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known, understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common practical assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

#### **Exams officer role and responsibilities:**

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

#### **Private Candidates**

#### Subject head/lead role and responsibilities:

Bluecoat Beechdale Academy does not accept Private Candidates

#### Management of issues and potential risks associated with nonexamination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow:  • The current JCQ publication "Instructions of conducting non-examination assessments"  • The JCQ document Notice to Centres – sharing NEA material and candidates work – www.jcq.uk/examsoffice/non-examination-assessments	HOC/HOD/EO
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not:  Submit work which is not their own  Make available their work to other candidates through any medium  Allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material  Assist other candidates to produce work  Use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution  Submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgment  Include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material  Records confirm that candidates have been made aware if the JCQ documents Information for candidates – non-examination assessments and Information for candidates – social media – www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents and understand they must not post their work on social media	HOC/HOD/EO/ Teachers
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	HOD/SYSTEMS HELP DESK
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification  Candidates do not understand	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria	HOD HOD/TEACHERS
the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates  Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria  Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	HOD/TEACHERS
Issuing of tasks		
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course  Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by	HOD/TEACHERS

		T
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates  Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	HOD/TEACHERS
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	HOD/TEACHERS
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample	HOD/EO/TEACH ERS
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	HOD/DATA MANAGER
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	HOD/EO/IT SYSTEMS
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates  Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	HOD/EO
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	SLT/HOD
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	HOD/EO
Advice and feedback		I
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	SLT/HOD/ TEACHERS
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	SLT/HOD/ TEACHERS

A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification Candidate does not reference information from published source	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body  Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	SLT/HOD/EO  HOD/TEACHER
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	HOD/TEACHER
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	HOD/TEACHER
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	HOD/EO
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	SLT/HOD/EO
Resources		
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	HOD/TEACHER /IT SYSTEMS
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	HOD/TEACHER /EO
Word and time limits	Decords confirm the assessment has been existent to the decord	
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	HOD/TEACHER

Collaboration and group work		
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	
groups where the awarding	checked to determine if group work is permitted	
body specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	HOD/EO
not permitted	unresolved	
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	
authenticity of the work	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'	
submitted by a candidate for internal assessment	work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	
internal assessment		HOD/TEACHE
Condidate placiariese other	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	R/EO
Candidate plagiarises other material	examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to	R/ EU
material	do to comply with the regulations for non-examination	
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	
authentication	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
statement/declaration	examination assessments	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do	HOD/TEACHER
	to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of	
	a candidate for formal assessment	
Cubinet to a change to a 1911 to 1	Figure a contra vide masses is in place to a Unit Contra	
Subject teacher not available to	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	שחח/רס
sign authentication forms	sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work	HOD/EO
	as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before	
complete the awarding body's	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	HOD/TEACHER
cover sheet that is attached to		
their worked submitted for		
formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure	Decords confirm which to a hour years of 15 "	
Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	
formal supervised sessions is	JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	
not securely stored		LIOD/TE A OUTES
	assessments	HOD/TEACHER
0000.0., 000.00	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of	HOD/TEACHER /EO
·	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	
Adequate secure storage not	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	/EO
·	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course	
Adequate secure storage not	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	/EO
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	/EO
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher  Task marking – externally asse	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required  ssed components	/EO
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher  Task marking – externally asse A candidate is absent on the	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required  ssed components  Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	/EO HOD/TEACHER
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher  Task marking – externally asse A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required  ssed components  Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate	/EO HOD/TEACHER HOD/TEACHER
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher  Task marking – externally asse A candidate is absent on the	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required  ssed components  Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request	/EO HOD/TEACHER
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher  Task marking – externally asse A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required  ssed components  Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate	/EO HOD/TEACHER HOD/TEACHER
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher  Task marking – externally asse A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required  ssed components  Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	/EO HOD/TEACHER HOD/TEACHER
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher  Task marking – externally asse A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason  A candidate is absent on the	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required  ssed components  Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request	HOD/TEACHER HOD/TEACHER /EO
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher  Task marking – externally asse A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason  A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required  ssed components  Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	/EO HOD/TEACHER HOD/TEACHER
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher  Task marking – externally asse A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason  A candidate is absent on the	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required  ssed components  Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	HOD/TEACHER HOD/TEACHER /EO
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher  Task marking – externally asse A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason  A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required  ssed components  Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate  The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	HOD/TEACHER HOD/TEACHER /EO
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher  Task marking – externally asse A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason  A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason  Task marking – internally asses	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required  ssed components  Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate  The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	HOD/TEACHER HOD/TEACHER /EO
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher  Task marking – externally asse A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason  A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage  Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required  ssed components  Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate  The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	HOD/TEACHER HOD/TEACHER /EO

	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	HOD/TEACHER
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	HOD/EO
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	HOD/EO
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	SLT/HOD/EO/S UBJECT TEACHER
A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g members of their family (which includes step- family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series t Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	HOD/EO/SUBJ ECT TEACHER
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	HOD/EO/SUBJ ECT TEACHER
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	HOD/EO/SUBJ ECT TEACHER
A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	HOD/EO/SUBJ ECT TEACHER
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	HOD/TEACHER

	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met  Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	SLT/HOD/HOC/ EO/SLT
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	HOD/TEACHERS

#### Changes 2025/2026

(Added) Under heading Introduction added reference to the new JCQ document Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (VTQs) (and added various signposts to this document throughout).

(Reworded) Under heading **Introduction** reworded information about coursework.

(Added) Under heading **Purpose of the policy** added reference that the policy includes how candidates' work will be authenticated.

(Added) Under heading **Resources** (Subject teacher) added a new bullet point to reference ensuring candidates understand how all sources included in work that is submitted for assessment must be acknowledged.

(Changed) Heading **Authentication procedures** title has been extended - and added subject teacher ensuring that candidates sign the declaration as soon as they have completed the assessment.

(Changed) Heading Consortium arrangements title has been extended.

(Changed) Under headings **Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessment identifying staff roles and responsibilities and Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessment** added reference that these procedures relate to <u>all</u> non-examination assessments.

#### Centre-specific changes

Not applicable