

BLUECOAT BEECHDALE ACADEMY COMPLAINTS & APPEALS PROCEDURE (EXAMS)

2025/26

Centre name	Bluecoat Beechdale Academy
Centre number	28253
Current policy approved by	Gemma Baxter
Current policy reviewed by	Agnieszka Dworzycka
Date of review	17/10/2025
Date of next review	30/10/2026

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that any complaints at Bluecoat Beechdale Academy are managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Purpose of the procedure

The purpose of this policy is to confirm the arrangements for complaints at Bluecoat Beechdale Academy and confirms compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres (5.3, 5.8) in drawing to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers our written complaints policy which covers general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification and our internal appeals procedure.

Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or his/her/parent/carer) may make a complaint on the grounds below (this is not an exhaustive list).

Teaching and learning

- Quality of teaching and learning, for example
 - Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long-term basis
 - Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
 - Core content not adequately covered
 - Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
 - Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an exam candidate
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- The marking of an internal assessment (Centre assessed work), which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not undertaken according to the requirements of the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of centre assessed marks
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

Access arrangements and special consideration

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding his/her access arrangements
- Candidate was not informed that an application for access arrangements was to be processed using Access arrangements online, complying with the UK GDPR and the Data Protection Act 2018
- Candidate did not consent to record their personal data online (by the non-acquisition of a completed candidate personal data consent form)

- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangements in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangements would not apply
- Exam information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during exam/assessment
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment
- Appropriate arrangements not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment
- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (complainant to refer via Exams Officer to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

Entries

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

Conducting examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on exam timetable/exam regulations prior to exam/assessment taking place
- Room in which exam held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the exam
- Inadequate invigilation in exam room
- Failure to conduct exam according to the regulations
- Online system failed during (online) exam/assessment
- Disruption during exam/assessment
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- Eligible application for special consideration for a candidate not submitted/not submitted to timescale
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the accepted/rejected outcome of a special consideration application if provided by awarding body.

Results and Post-results

- Before exams, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the
 accessibility of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make decision on the submission of an enquiry
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body post-results services)

- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer via the Exams Officer to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

Raising a Complaint/concern and Appeals Procedure

If a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification he/she is following, Bluecoat Beechdale Academy encourages him/her to try to resolve this informally in the first instance.

This can be undertaken by raising the concern or complaint in person, by telephone or in writing to the Head of Centre.

If a complaint or concern fails to be resolved informally, the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

How to make a formal complaint

- A formal complaint should be submitted In writing by completing a **complaints and appeals**
- Forms are available from the Examinations Officer
- Completed forms should be returned to the Examinations Officer
- Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 14 working days

How a formal complaint is investigated

- The head of centre will further investigate or appoint a member of the senior leadership team (who is not involved in the grounds for complaint and has no personal interest in the outcome) to investigate the complaint and report on the findings and conclusion
- The findings and conclusion will be provided to the complainant within 4 working weeks

Appeals

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted.

To submit an appeal, candidates (or parents/carers) must:

- Submitte in writing by again completing a complaints and appeals form
- Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 14 working days
- The appeal will be referred to the Head of Centre for consideration
- The Head of Centre will inform the appellant of the final conclusion in due course

Complaints and appeals form				
	Date received			
Please tick box to indicate the nature of your complaint/appeal	Reference No.			
 □ Complaint/appeal against the centre's delivery of a qualification □ Complaint/appeal against the centre's administration of a qualification □ Complaint/appeal against access arrangements / special consideration 				
Name of complainant/appellant name if different to complain	name if different to complainant/appellant			
Please state the grounds for your complaint/appeal below				
If your grounds are lengthy, please write as bullet points; please keep to the point are etc. and provide any evidence you may have to support what you say	nd include relevant detail such as dates, names			
Your appeal should identify the centre's failure to follow procedures as set out in the and learning which have impacted the candidate	e relevant policy, and/or issues in teaching			
If necessary, continue on an additional page if this form is being completed ele	ectronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed			
Detail any steps you have already taken to resolve the issue(s) and what yo to the issue(s)	u would consider to be a good resolution			

$c_{\alpha m}$. _ : +	/appellant	cianatura
COHI	ทสเทสทา	/abbenani	ZIBLIALITE

Date of signature:

This form must be completed in full; an incomplete form will be returned to the complainant/appellant

Complaints and appeals log

On receipt, all complaints/appeals are assigned a reference number and logged. Outcome and outcome date is also recorded.

Ref No.	Date received	Complaint or Appeal	Outcome	Outcome date

Changes 2025/2026

(update 01/10/2025)

(Removed/replaced) Under heading Access arrangements and special consideration removed reference to candidate personal data consent form/replaced with reference to complying with the UK GDPR and the Data Protection Act 2018

Centre-specific changes

Upon review in October 2025, no centre-specific updates or changes were applicable to this document).